Adipose Concentrations of Organochlorine Compounds and Breast Cancer Recurrence in Long Island, New York

Joshua E. Muscat,¹ Julie A. Britton,² Mirjana V. Djordjevic,³ Marc L. Citron,⁴ Margaret Kemeny,⁵ Erna Busch-Devereaux,⁴ Brian Pittman,¹ and Steven D. Stellman^{1,6}

Institute for Cancer Prevention, Valhalla, New York; ²Division of Environmental Health Science, Mount Sinai School of Medicine; ³Tobacco Control Research Branch, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland; ⁴ProHEALTH Care Associates, LLP, Lake Success, New York; ⁵Queens Hospital Center, New York, New York; and ⁶Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York.

Abstract

Several studies have measured the association between blood or adipose concentrations of organochlorinated compounds (OCs), such as pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and breast cancer. The estrogenic effects of OCs might adversely affect breast cancer recurrence. The participants were 224 women with nonmetastatic breast cancer enrolled in a New Yorkbased case-control study. Supercritical fluid extraction followed by gas chromatography was conducted on adipose surgical specimens to determine OC concentrations. The mean follow-up time from surgery was 3.6 years. Thirty women (13.4%) were diagnosed with a recurrence. The concentration of pesticides and PCBs was correlated with baseline age and body mass index, but not with cancer stage. The highest tertile of total PCB concentration was associated with an increased risk of recurrence [relative risk (RR), 2.9; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.02-8.2 versus the lowest tertile]. The risk for the highest tertile of the PCB congener Ballschmiter and Zell 118 was 4.0 (95% CI, 1.3–4.9). There was an increased risk for the middle level of the most abundant pesticide, 1,1-dichloro-2,2-di(4chlorophenyl)ethylene (RR, 2.3; 95% CI, 0.9-5.7), and for β -hexachlorocyclohexane, but not for their highest levels. Self-reported home termiticide exposure, alcohol consumption (≥ 1 drink/day), and race were not associated with prognosis. The RR for current cigarette smoking at diagnosis was 2.1 (95% CI, 0.9-5.1). In contrast to previous data showing no relationship between OC exposure and risk of breast cancer in these women, adipose PCB concentrations were associated with

tumor recurrence. Pesticide levels were not related to recurrence.

Introduction

The IARC classifies organochlorinated compounds (OCs) as probable or possible human carcinogens (1). OC compounds include agricultural pesticides such as 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(*p*-chlorophenyl)ethane and the industrial polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Although domestic use was banned in the 1970s, OCs persist ubiquitously in the environment, wildlife, and human adipose tissue.

The estrogenic properties of OCs (2) are thought to increase the risk of breast cancer. Estrogens possibly promote the development of breast cancer by increasing cellular proliferation, and some studies found that women with high circulating blood estrogen levels or with a history of postmenopausal estrogen use had increased breast cancer rates (3). There have been several studies of OC concentrations in blood or adipose tissue and breast cancer risk. In general, positive associations were observed in earlier studies, whereas more recent investigations found no effects or increased risks only in study subgroups (4). Environmental exposures to OCs are also thought to affect the progression of breast cancer. High blood levels of 1,1-dichloro-2,2-di(4-chlorophenyl)ethylene (p,p'-DDE) were correlated with more extensive lymph node involvement in one report (5), and elevated blood concentrations of dieldrin but not other OCs were associated with increased breast cancer mortality in a Danish study (6). In the current investigation, we examined whether adipose OC concentrations were associated with the risk of breast cancer recurrence.

Materials and Methods

The women in this study were participants in our hospital-based case-control study of OC concentrations and breast cancer risk in Long Island, New York (7). The case-control study included 359 women who were newly diagnosed at Long Island Jewish Medical Center (New Hyde Park, NY) and North Shore University Hospital (Manhasset, NY) between 1994 and 1996. More than 95% of eligible patients participated. Trained study personnel interviewed the women before surgery using a structured questionnaire that contained items on known and suspected breast cancer risk factors. Three hundred and eight women (86%) agreed to provide breast adipose tissue samples, which were obtained at surgery and immediately frozen. Concentrations of pesticides and PCBs were determined for 232 randomly selected case women by supercritical fluid extraction and gas chromatography with electron capture (8). The pesticides included 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(4-chlorophenyl)ethane, 1,1-dichloro-2-(ortho-chlorophenyl)-2-(para-chlorophenyl)ethane, p,p'-DDE, trans-nonachlor, oxychlordane, β -hexachlorocyclohexane, and hexachlorobenzene. The PCB congeners included Ballschmiter and Zell (BZ) 74, BZ 99, BZ 118, BZ 138, BZ 146, BZ 153, BZ 156, BZ 167, BZ 170, BZ 172, BZ 178, BZ

Received 7/18/03; revised 7/18/03; accepted 8/31/03.

Grant support: National Cancer Institute Grants CA-63021, CA-72562, and CA-17613.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Requests for reprints: Joshua E. Muscat, Institute for Cancer Prevention, One Dana Road, Valhalla, New York 10595. Phone: (914) 592-2600; Fax: (914) 687-2339; E-mail: jmuscat@ifcp.us.

180, BZ 183, and BZ 187. The limits of detection were reported previously and ranged from 0.069 (oxychlordane, t-nonachlor) to 0.723 ng/g [BZ 153 and BZ 180 (8)]. Nondetectable concentrations were coded as zero.

The women were followed for up to 5 years after surgery to determine their health status. Medical records were reviewed to determine the date of any subsequent recurrence, tumor stage, histology, hormone receptor status, hormone treatment, chemotherapy, radiation, and other postoperative care. The stage of cancer was determined using the American Joint Committee on Cancer criteria (9). Recurrence was defined as the development of a postoperative tumor in the same breast, regional spread of the primary tumor, or metastatic spread to vital organs. A subsequent primary cancer in the contralateral breast was not defined as a recurrence. The women were mailed a short survey to determine postdiagnosis changes in smoking habits, weight, and other factors. If a participant failed to return the questionnaire, additional requests were made by follow-up telephone calls and home visits. The data analysis was based on 224 women because 8 of the 232 women presented with advanced-stage disease at diagnosis. The follow-up questionnaire was completed by 185 (82.6%) women. Consequently, the sample size for some analyses was 185. All patients signed a consent form that was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Institute for Cancer Prevention and the participating medical centers.

Data analysis was conducted using SAS statistical software (Version 8.2; Cary, NC). Descriptive statistics were calculated including frequency tables and means and SDs. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to determine the associations between log OC concentrations and age, body mass index (BMI), and stage of disease at diagnosis. The association between weight at baseline and weight at the time of follow-up was determined by the Pearson correlation coefficient. Proportional hazard models were fit to estimate the relative risks (RRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of breast cancer recurrence in relation to log-transformed concentrations of OCs. Subjects were grouped into tertiles based on the distribution of log-transformed values (In +1 to account for values of zero). Ps were based on two-sided tests. Initial models included terms for the effects of known confounders including age and BMI [weight (kg)/height² (m)]. The independent and potential confounding effects of other risk factors at the time of diagnosis were also evaluated including cancer stage, education, smoking (current versus not current), and alcohol consumption ($\geq 1 \operatorname{drink}/\operatorname{day} \operatorname{versus} < 1 \operatorname{drink}/\operatorname{day}$).

Estrogen receptor (ER) assays are usually performed for women with invasive tumors. In the current study, ER assays were performed on 185 women (83%). Adjuvant hormonal therapy is routinely administered to women with ER+ tumors, except where contraindicated or if refused. However, it is also administered routinely as treatment for ductal carcinoma *in situ* and to younger women. The majority of women who are ER– are not treated with adjuvant estrogen therapy. Consequently, statistical adjustment was also made for adjuvant hormone therapy (treatment *versus* no treatment).

All terms were modeled as categorical variables except for BMI, which was treated as a continuous variable. Tests for trends were conducted by modeling OC concentrations as continuous variables. Departures from the proportional hazard model assumption were tested by inclusion of a time-dependent interaction term.

Results

Table 1 shows the age and tumor characteristics of the 224 women. Seventy-four subjects (33.0%) were <50 years old, 23 (10.3%) were black, and 3 (1.3%) were Asian. The most common histological type was ductal carcinoma (72.3%). About 65% of subjects had early-stage disease, and 35% had stage II or III disease. There were 30 (13.4%) recurrences during the follow-up period.

Table 2 shows the mean and SD of the OC concentrations by recurrence status, with nondetects treated as zero values. The pesticide residue and PCB congener with the highest mean concentration were p,p'-DDE and BZ 153, respectively. The mean total pesticide and PCB concentrations were both about 10% higher in blacks than in whites.

The Spearman correlation coefficients between age at diagnosis and total pesticide and PCB concentrations were both about 0.54 (Table 3). For BMI, the *r* was 0.35 for total pesticide concentrations and 0.14 for total PCB concentrations. Cancer stage was not correlated with OC levels. The Pearson correlation between BMI at the time of diagnosis and that reported on the follow-up questionnaire was 0.90. For subjects who experienced a recurrence, the *r* was 0.92 (n = 23).

The mean length of time between the date of surgery and the date of the follow-up (or date of recurrence) was 3.6 years. Proportional hazard model results are shown in Tables 4 and 5. After adjustment for age, stage, and other factors, the RR for the middle tertile of the most abundant pesticide, p,p'-DDE, was 2.5 (95% CI, 1.0-6.4; Table 4). The RR for the middle tertile of hexachlorobenzene was 3.0 (95% CI, 1.1-8.4). An increased risk was not found for the upper tertile of total pesticide concentrations. Trend tests for all pesticide measurements were not significant. Among PCB congeners, an increased risk was found for the upper tertile of BZ 118 relative to the lowest tertile (RR, 2.9; 95% CI, 1.0-8.5; Table 5). The risk for the upper tertile of total PCB levels was 2.9 (95% CI, 1.02-8.2). Nonsignificant increased risks of ≥ 2.0 were observed for the upper tertile of the PCB congeners BZ 138, BZ 153, BZ 167, BZ 183, and BZ 187 (Table 5). The trend tests did not reach the level of statistical significance, although the value for BZ 118 was < 0.06.

Table 1 Age, race, tumor characteristics, and prognosis of 224 women diagnosed with nonmetastatic breast cancer in New York, 1994–1996

diagnosed with nonmetastatic breast cancer in New Fork, 1994–19		
Characteristic	N (%)	
Age (yrs)		
<50	74 (33.0)	
50	150 (67.0)	
Race		
White	198 (88.4)	
Black	23 (10.3)	
Asian	3 (1.3)	
Histology		
Ductal	162 (72.3)	
Lobular	29 (13.0)	
Mixed	17 (7.6)	
Tubular	7 (3.1)	
Other	9 (4.0)	
Stage		
0	31 (13.8)	
1	114 (50.9)	
2	69 (30.8)	
3	10 (4.5)	
3–5 yr prognosis		
No recurrence	194 (86.6)	
Recurrence	30 (13.4)	

There were no significant differences in individual or total mean pesticide levels by ER status [geometric mean for total pesticides: 645.5 ng/g (ER+ women) *versus* 560.6 ng/g (ER- women)], by progesterone receptor status, or by adjuvant hormonal treatment. Total mean PCB levels also did not vary significantly by ER status [311.5 ng/g (ER+ women) *versus* 272.2 ng/g (ER- women)], progesterone receptor status, or adjuvant hormone therapy. Of the 30 recurrences, only 9 women received tamoxifen or other hormone therapy, and consequently it was not possible to adequately measure the

		_
	No recurrence $(N = 194)$	Recurrence $(N = 30)$
	(17 - 174)	(17 = 50)
OC (ng/g)		
DDT	20.5 ± 33.7	17.5 ± 22.2
DDE	633.9 ± 643.2	507.7 ± 390.9
DDD	23.3 ± 25.6	19.5 ± 9.9
HCB	20.3 ± 11.3	20.7 ± 9.6
β -HCH	45.3 ± 243.1	226.3 ± 20.8
TNC	61.4 ± 43.4	68.9 ± 56.7
OXY	54.9 ± 36.9	62.3 ± 43.2
Total pesticides	859.6 ± 811.3	727.4 ± 488.4
Whites	817.8 ± 733.8	742.4 ± 457.4
Blacks	923.3 ± 589.4	720.7 ± 706.1
Asian	3924.9 ± 2969.2	402.6 (n = 1)
PCB congener		
74	35.5 ± 27.3	44.3 ± 48.1
99	23.7 ± 18.6	26.0 ± 23.4
118	41.3 ± 38.6	48.5 ± 38.4
138	36.4 ± 29.4	28.9 ± 39.8
146	11.3 ± 11.2	8.2 ± 11.8
153	89.2 ± 69.1	96.3 ± 69.1
156	14.2 ± 12.1	15.1 ± 15.2
167	2.5 ± 2.9	2.7 ± 2.6
170	15.5 ± 9.5	13.5 ± 9.0
172	3.9 ± 11.5	2.9 ± 3.6
178	5.1 ± 3.8	5.3 ± 5.6
180	53.4 ± 42.3	57.4 ± 61.9
183	8.5 ± 16.5	7.9 ± 6.1
187	21.0 ± 15.3	21.9 ± 21.7
Total PCB	361.3 ± 235.9	393.4 ± 279.3
Whites	353.9 ± 232.2	369.7 ± 201.1
Blacks	406.7 ± 256.0	529.7 ± 550.8
Asian	594.7 ± 369.2	280.9 (n = 1)

^{*a*} PCB, polychlorinated biphenyl; OC, organochlorinated compound; DDT, 1,1,1trichloro-2,2-bis(4-chlorophenyl)ethane; DDE, 1,1-dichloro-2,2-di(4-chlorophenyl)ethylene; DDD, 1,1-dichloro-2-(ortho-chlorophenyl)-2-(para-chlorophenyl) ethane; HCB, hexachlorobenzene; β -HCH, β -hexachlorocyclohexane; TNC, trans-nonachlor; OXY, oxychlordane.

^b Nondetects	treated	as	zero.
-------------------------	---------	----	-------

Table 3 Spearman correlation between log total pesticide and PCB ^a concentrations and age, body mass index, and stage of disease		
	Log pesticide (ng/g)	Log PCB (ng/g)
Age	0.55	0.54
Р	< 0.01	< 0.01
Body mass index	0.35	0.14
Р	< 0.01	0.04
Stage	-0.04	-0.03
P	0.52	0.69

^a PCB, polychlorinated biphenyl.

Pesticide log	N (no common t, not no common t)	DD	05% CI
(ng/g)	N (recurrent, not recurrent)	RR	95% CI
HCB			
Lowest tertile	6, 68	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	13, 62	3.0	1.1 - 8.4
Highest tertile	11, 64	2.3	0.7 - 7.4
β -HCH			
Lowest tertile	6, 68	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	11, 64	1.7	0.6-5.1
Highest tertile	13, 62	2.7	0.9-8.3
OXC			
Lowest tertile	9, 65	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	10, 65	1.3	0.5-3.1
Highest tertile	11, 64	1.4	0.5-4.0
TNC			
Lowest tertile	8,66	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	13, 62	2.0	0.7-5.3
Highest tertile	9, 66	2.1	0.7-6.8
DDE			
Lowest tertile	8,66	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	16, 59	2.3	0.9-5.7
Highest tertile	6, 69	1.1	0.4-3.5
DDT			
Lowest tertile	9,65	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	13, 62	1.2	0.5-2.9
Highest tertile	8,67	1.1	0.4-3.0
DDD			
Lowest tertile	5, 69	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	15, 60	2.2	0.8-6.1
Highest tertile	10, 65	2.3	0.7-8.0
Total pesticides			
Lowest tertile	7, 67	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile	17, 58	2.5	1.0-6.4
Highest tertile	6, 69	1.3	0.4-4.0

^a RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; HCB, hexachlorobenzene; β-HCH, β-hexachlorocyclohexane; OXC, oxychlordane; TNC, trans-nonachlor; DDE, 1,1-dichloro-2,2-di(4-chlorophenyl)ethylene; DDT, 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(4chlorophenyl)ethane; DDD, 1,1-dichloro-2-(ortho-chlorophenyl)-2-(para-chlorophenyl)ethane; Ref., referent.

^b Adjusted for age, body mass index, education, stage of disease, tamoxifen treatment. Tests for trend were not significant.

independent effects of OCs on recurrence stratified by tamoxifen status.

Table 6 shows the risk of recurrence associated with other potential risk factors. Self-reported home termite treatment, either professionally or personally, was unrelated to breast cancer recurrence. There was no difference in risk between black and white/Asian women. Thirty-three women who were cigarette smokers at the time of diagnosis had a nonsignificant increase in risk of recurrence (RR, 2.1; 95% CI, 0.9–5.1). There was no dose-response effect with number of cigarettes smoked per day. In the follow-up survey, 10 of the women who smoked reported quitting after diagnosis, although the date of smoking cessation was not collected. Among women who continued to smoke, four reported a lower amount of smoking. The RR for alcohol consumption (≥ 1 drink/day) was 0.2 (95% CI, 0.03–1.8). Tamoxifen treatment was associated with a reduced risk of breast cancer recurrence (RR, 0.4; 95% CI, 0.2–0.8).

Discussion

In this study the levels of OCs in breast adipose tissue were not correlated with stage of breast cancer. The highest concentration of the most abundant pesticide p,p'-DDE, was not a sig-

BZ 74 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 99 Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.7 0.6- BZ 118 Lowest tertile 6, 68 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 2.9 1.0- Ref Middle tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32- BZ 138 Lowest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8- BZ 146 Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7	PCB congener	N (recurrent, not recurrent)	RR	95% CI
Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 99 Interval 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.7 0.6- BZ 118 Interval 11, 64 1.9 0.0- Lowest tertile 6, 68 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 2.9 1.0- Highest tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32- BZ 138 Interval 0.4- 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8- BZ 146 Interval 0.6 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 156 Interval Interval Interval Interval	-	iv (recurrent, not recurrent)	int	<i>957</i> 0 CI
Middle tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 99		10 64	1.0	Pof
Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 99 0 0.65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.7 0.6- BZ 118 0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 2.9 1.0- Highest tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32- 1.32- 1.0- Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8- BZ 146 1.65 1.6 0.5- 1.6 0.5- BZ 146 10 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 10 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.5- Lowest tertile 17, 68 0.7 0.5- 1.3 0.5- Highesterterile 13, 61 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
BZ 39 Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.7 0.6- BZ 118 Lowest tertile 6, 68 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 2.9 1.0- Highest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 146 Does 0.6 0.8 0.3- Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 155 Lowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 0.6 BZ 167 Lowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0 BZ 170 Lowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0 BZ 170 Lowest tertile				0.6-4.9
Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4 Highest tertile 10, 65 1.7 0.6 BZ 118 I I 0.65 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 6, 68 1.0 Ref 0.65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32 0.8 0.3 Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref 0.66 0.8 0.3 BZ 146 2.3 0.8 0.3 0.6 0.8 0.3 Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref 0.66 0.8 0.3 Highest tertile 12, 65 1.6 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.2 Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref 0.66 0.7 0.3 BZ 165 I I 0.65 1.3 0.5 0.5 0.5 BZ 167 <td>0</td> <td>12, 05</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>0.0-4.2</td>	0	12, 05	1.7	0.0-4.2
Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4 - Highest tertile 10, 65 1.7 0.6 - BZ 118 118 119 0.6 Lowest tertile 11, 64 2.9 1.0 - Highest tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32 - BZ 138 0.65 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4 - Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8 - BZ 146 0.66 0.8 0.3 - Lowest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5 - BZ 153 0.66 0.7 0.2 - Highest tertile 1.6 0.5 - 0.6 BZ 156 0.7 0.2 - Highest etrile 1.6 Lowest tertile 1.63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $7, 68$ 0.7 0.3 - Highest tertile $1.2, 62$ 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $7, 68$ 0.7 0.5 - Highest tertile 1.65 1.5 0.6		9 65	1.0	Ref.
Highest tertile 10, 65 1.7 0.6- BZ 118 11, 64 2.9 1.0- Highest tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32- BZ 138 2.9 1.0- 1.32- BZ 138 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8- BZ 146 1.0 Ref 0.4- 1.0 Lowest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 1.0 Ref 0.66 0.8 0.3- Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref 0.65 1.0- BZ 153 1.0 Ref 0.64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- 1.0- BZ 156 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 167 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 167 1.0 Ref Middle tertile				0.4-2.7
BZ 118 Lowest tertile 6, 68 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 2.9 1.0- Highest tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32- BZ 138 End 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8- BZ 146 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 153 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 150 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 12, 62 1.0				0.6-4.4
Middle tertile 11, 64 2.9 1.0- Highest tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32- BZ 138	BZ 118			
Highest tertile 13, 62 4.0 1.32– BZ 138 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4– Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8– BZ 146 1.63 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3– Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5– BZ 153 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2– Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0– Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3– Highest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3– Highest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5– BZ 170 10 Covest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0– BZ 170 10 Covest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3– <				
BZ 138 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8– BZ 146 2.3 0.8– Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3– Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5– BZ 153 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2– Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0– BZ 156 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2– Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6– BZ 10 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3– Highest 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5– Highest 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5– 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle ter				1.0-8.5
Middle tertile 7, 68 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 0.8- BZ 146 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 156 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 0.7 0.3- Lowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 167 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 170 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1.6 3.1 1.0- BZ 172 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1.6 5 1.5 0.6- <	0	13, 62	4.0	1.32-4.9
Highest tertile 14, 61 2.3 $0.8-$ BZ 146 11, 63 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 65 1.6 $0.5-$ BZ 153 10 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 $0.2-$ Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 153 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 10 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 10- BZ 167 10 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 170 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1, 65 0.3- Highest t	Lowest tertile	9, 65	1.0	Ref.
BZ 146 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3– Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5– BZ 153 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2– Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0– BZ 156 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3– Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6– BZ 167 0.7 0.3– Lowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5– Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0– BZ 10– BZ 170 10 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6– BZ 172 10 Ref Middle tertile 1.6 0.9 0.4– Highest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 0.65 1.5 0.6– BZ 172 10 10 <td>Middle tertile</td> <td>7, 68</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>0.4-3.2</td>	Middle tertile	7, 68	1.1	0.4-3.2
Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3 Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 100 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 155 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 0.7 0.3- Lowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 167 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 170 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1.6 1.1 0.5 BZ 172 12	0	14, 61	2.3	0.8–6.2
Middle tertile 9, 66 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 Iowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 156 Iowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 Iowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- BZ 167 Iowest tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 170 Iowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 Iowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 Iowest tertile		11,63	1.0	Ref.
Highest tertile 10, 65 1.6 0.5- BZ 153 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 156 10 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 0.7 0.3- Lowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- BZ 167 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 170 10 10 0.4- Highest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- 1.5 0.6- 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 10 Ref Middle tertile 1.65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 10 Ref Middle tertile 1.65 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.3–2.</td>				0.3–2.
Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 156 Iowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 Iowest tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- BZ 170 Iowest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- BZ 170 Iowest tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 Iowest tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 Iowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- BZ 178 Iowest tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref	U			0.5-5.5
Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.2- Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 1.0- BZ 156 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 1, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- BZ 170 10 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 170 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 0.7 0.3- Lowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- BZ 180 11 0, 65 0.7 0.3- 11		10.64	1.0	Ref.
Highest tertile 13, 62 2.6 $1.0-$ BZ 156 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 $0.6-$ BZ 167 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 $0.5-$ Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 $1.0-$ BZ 170 10 Ref Middle tertile $9, 65$ 1.5 $0.6-$ BZ 170 10 Ref Middle tertile $1.0 -$ Ref Middle tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 0.65 1.5 $0.6-$ BZ 172 12 10 Ref Middle tertile 0.65 1.1 $0.5-$ BZ 178 10, 65 1.1 0.65 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 0.65 0.7 $0.3-$ Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.2–1.9</td>				0.2–1.9
BZ 156 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 10 Ref Lowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- BZ 170 10 Ref Middle tertile 8, 67 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 8, 67 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 0 0.4- Lowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 12 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 10, 65 1.1 0.5- 1.1 0.3- Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile				1.0-7.1
Middle tertile 7, 68 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6- BZ 167 Iowest tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5- Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0- BZ 170 Iowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 8, 67 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 Iowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 Iowest tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 11, 63 1.0	BZ 156	,		
Highest tertile 12, 63 1.7 0.6– BZ 167 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 0.5– Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 1.0– BZ 170 2 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6– BZ 170 2 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6– BZ 172 2 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3– Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5– BZ 178 2 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3– Highest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4– BZ 183				Ref.
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				0.3-1.9
Middle tertile 9, 65 1.3 $0.5-$ Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 $1.0-$ BZ 170 Iowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 8, 67 0.9 $0.4-$ Highest tertile 10, 65 1.5 $0.6-$ BZ 172 Iowest tertile $10, 65$ 1.5 $0.6-$ BZ 172 Iowest tertile $10, 65$ 1.1 $0.5-$ BZ 178 Iowest tertile $10, 65$ 0.1 $0.5-$ BZ 178 Iowest tertile $10, 65$ 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile $10, 65$ 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile $11, 63$ 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $10, 65$ 0.7 $0.3-$ EZ 180 Iowest tertile $11, 63$ 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $11, 64$ 1.1 $0.4-$ BZ 183 Iowest tertile $10, 64$ 1.8 $0.7-$ Lowest tertile $10, 64$ 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $11, 64$	-	12, 63	1./	0.6–4.8
Highest tertile 13, 62 3.1 $1.0-$ BZ 170 Lowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $8, 67$ 0.9 $0.4-$ Highest tertile $10, 65$ 1.5 $0.6-$ BZ 172 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile $13, 61$ 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $7, 68$ 0.8 $0.3-$ Highest tertile $10, 65$ 1.1 $0.5-$ BZ 178 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile $12, 62$ 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $10, 65$ 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile $8, 67$ 1.1 $0.3-$ BZ 180 1.6 $0.4-$ Lowest tertile $11, 63$ 1.0 Ref Middle tertile $11, 64$ 1.1 $0.4-$ BZ 183 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile $10, 64$ 1.8 $0.7-$ Highest tertile $12, 63$ 2.4 $0.9-$	Lowest tertile	8, 67	1.0	Ref.
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Middle tertile	9, 65	1.3	0.5-3.7
Middle tertile 8, 67 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.5 0.6- BZ 172 Iowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 Iowest tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- BZ 180 Iowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 Iowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 Io Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile<	-	13, 62	3.1	1.0–9.3
Highest tertile 10, 65 1.5 $0.6-$ BZ 172 Lowest tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 $0.5-$ BZ 178 $0.6 0.7$ $0.3-$ Highest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 $0.3-$ BZ 180 $0.6 0.7$ $0.3-$ BZ 180 0.65 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 10, 65 0.7 $0.3-$ BZ 180 0.67 $0.3-$ Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 $0.4 0.8-7-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 1.8 $0.7-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 $0.9 0.4-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 $0.9 0.4-$ Highest tertile 9, 66	Lowest tertile	12, 62	1.0	Ref.
BZ 172 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 13, 61 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- BZ 180 0 0 Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 0.4- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 2 Lowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 2 2 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref 1.0 8.6 1.0 1.0 1.0 BZ 187 2 2.3 <td>Middle tertile</td> <td>8, 67</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.4-2.5</td>	Middle tertile	8, 67	0.9	0.4-2.5
Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 Iowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- BZ 180 Iowest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- BZ 180 Iowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 Iowest tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 Iowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 0.9- Highest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 0.7- Lowest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- 0.4-	-	10, 65	1.5	0.6-4.2
Middle tertile 7, 68 0.8 0.3- Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 0.5- BZ 178 Iowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- BZ 180 Iowest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- Edwest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 1.0.3- BZ 180 Iowest tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- BZ 183 Iowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 Iowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB Iowest tertile 9, 65 <td< td=""><td></td><td>13, 61</td><td>1.0</td><td>Ref.</td></td<>		13, 61	1.0	Ref.
Highest tertile 10, 65 1.1 $0.5-$ BZ 178 Lowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- BZ 180 10 Ref Middle tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 10 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB 1.0 Ref				0.3-2.2
Lowest tertile 12, 62 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 0.3- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 0.3- BZ 180 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 2 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 2 10 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB 2 0.65 1.0 Ref	Highest tertile			0.5-2.9
Middle tertile 10, 65 0.7 $0.3-$ Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 $0.3-$ BZ 180 $0.3-$ BZ 180 $0.3-$ Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 $0.4-$ Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 $0.4-$ BZ 183 0.64 1.8 $0.7-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 1.8 $0.7 0.9 0.4-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref $0.9 0.4-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref $0.4 0.9 0.4-$ Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 $0.7 0.7 0.7-$ Total PCB 0.66 $0.3 0.7-$		12 62	1.0	Ref.
Highest tertile 8, 67 1.1 $0.3-$ BZ 180 10 Ref Lowest tertile 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 $0.4-$ Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 $0.4-$ BZ 183 10 Ref Middle tertile 0.64 $0.7-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 1.8 $0.7-$ Highest tertile 10, 64 0.9 $0.4-$ BZ 187 10 Ref Middle tertile 10.64 0.9 $0.4-$ Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 $0.7-$ Total PCB 0.65 1.0 Ref				0.3–1.8
BZ 180 11, 63 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 10 Ref 1.1 0.4- BZ 183 10 Ref 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 10 Ref 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 10 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB 10 Ref 10 Ref				0.3-3.3
Middle tertile 11, 64 1.1 0.4- Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 1.3 0.4- BZ 183 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 0.9- 0.4- Highest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 0.9- 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB 1.0 Ref Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref 1.0 Ref	BZ 180			
Highest tertile 8, 67 1.3 0.4– BZ 183 Lowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7– Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9– BZ 187 Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 0.9 0.4– Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7– Total PCB Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref				Ref.
Lowest tertile 8, 67 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7– Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9– BZ 187 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 0.9 0.4– Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7– Total PCB 1.0 Ref				0.4–2.9 0.4–3.8
Middle tertile 10, 64 1.8 0.7- Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9- BZ 187 10 Ref Middle tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB 1.0 Ref	BZ 183			
Highest tertile 12, 63 2.4 0.9– BZ 187	Lowest tertile	8, 67	1.0	Ref.
BZ 187 Lowest tertile 10, 64 1.0 Ref Middle tertile 11, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref	Middle tertile	10, 64	1.8	0.7-5.0
Middle tertile 11, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB 2.3 0.8- 0.8- Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref		12, 63	2.4	0.9–6.4
Middle tertile 11, 64 0.9 0.4- Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7- Total PCB 2000 1.0 Ref		10, 64	1.0	Ref.
Highest tertile 9, 66 2.3 0.7– Total PCB Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref				0.4-2.4
Total PCB Lowest tertile 9, 65 1.0 Ref				0.7–7.
·				
2 M M M A M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Lowest tertile	9, 65	1.0	Ref.
Middle tertile 8, 67 0.9 0.3-	Middle tertile	8, 67	0.9	0.3-2.6

^a RR, relative risk; PCB, polychlorinated biphenyl; CI, confidence interval; Ref., referent.

^b Adjusted for age, body mass index, education, stage of disease, adjuvant tamoxifen therapy. Tests for trend were not significant.

nificant 3–5 year predictor of breast cancer recurrence. Intermediate levels of some pesticides were associated with an increased risk relative to the lowest levels, but the associations were clearly not dose dependent. In contrast, the risk of recurrence was significantly elevated for the highest total PCB concentrations and for BZ 118. Increased risks of \geq 2.0 were also observed for the highest levels of several other PCB congeners, although a dose-dependent effect was not found.

There were relatively few events in this study, and the positive findings could have been due to bias. It was noted that patients with stage 3 or stage 4 disease were significantly more likely to experience a recurrence, providing some assurance that the study findings were consistent with well-documented clinical observations. Some data suggest that chemotherapy alters serum OC levels (10), but all samples were collected before chemotherapy treatment. There might have been confounding from changes in risk factor profiles after the diagnosis. For example, obesity adversely affects breast cancer survival. In our data, there was a very high correlation between weight at the time of surgery and at the time of follow-up. Unmeasured confounders might have affected the risk estimates. However, here too we did not observe substantial differences in risk estimates between models with varying numbers of independent variables. There are an insufficient number of studies to determine whether our results are consistent with the literature. In a Danish cohort of 195 breast cancer patients, the RR of subsequent mortality was unrelated to both blood pesticide and PCB concentrations but positively related to dieldrin levels (6).

Some data show or suggest a carcinogenic effect of specific PCB congeners. Several PCB congeners are tumor promoters in experimental systems, including BZ 118 and BZ 153, which enhance foci growth in rat liver (11, 12). Mixtures of TCDD, BZ 118, BZ 153, and other PCB congeners cause liver enlargement and increased activity of hepatic CYP1A1/2 and CYP2B1/2 in rats (13). BZ 153, BZ 180, and BZ 138 were reported to interfere with sexual hormone-regulated processes in 17 β -estradiol induced MCF-7 cells, and this was interpreted as evidence of endocrine disruptive potential (14). BZ 153 has been shown to alter the secretion of estradiol, progesterone, and testosterone in porcine ovarian cells (15) and luteal cells (16). BZ 153 also exhibited estrogenic properties similar to those of estradiol with respect to increasing serum thyroxine and reducing follicle-stimulating hormone isoforms in male rats com-

Table 6 RR ^a of breast cancer recurrence associated with baseline risk facto reported home pesticide treatment, smoking, alcohol consumption, and tamoxifen therapy ^b			
Characteristic	No. exposed	RR	95% CI
Reference group		1.0	
Professional home termite control	89	0.9	0.4-1.9
Reference group		1.0	
Personal home termite control	167	0.9	0.3-2.1
Reference group		1.0	
Black race	23	1.4	0.5-3.9
Reference group		1.0	
Current cigarette smoker	33	2.1	0.9-5.1
Reference group		1.0	
Alcohol (GE 1 drink/day)	22	0.3	0.04-2.3
Reference group		1.0	
Tamoxifen treatment	115	0.4	0.2-0.8

^a RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval.

^b Adjusted for age and body mass index.

pared to controls (17). However, BZ 138, BZ 153, and BZ 180 did not form DNA adducts or provide evidence of oxidative stress-induced damage (18). The authors of the Danish survival study suggested that some OCs might increase the aggressiveness of the tumor or affect the growth of malignant cells that remain after treatment. Some data indicate that higher levels of PCBs are associated with estrogen-negative tumors, which have a faster rate of progression. However, the literature in this area is ambiguous and based on cancer stage (19), and our data set was too small to examine the risk separately by receptor status. Nonestrogenic mechanisms by which PCBs might increase tumor aggressiveness include the induction of cytochrome P450 1A1 (CYP1A1) gene. An increased risk for breast cancer was reported for women with the highest blood levels of PCBs who also possessed CYP1A1 variants (20, 21).

Our finding that smoking affects recurrence suggests that smoking cessation might be an important means to reduce further morbidity from breast cancer. Alcohol consumption was not a factor as has been reported elsewhere (22), although some data suggest that recurrence risk increases with weekly or more frequent consumption (23).

In summary, these results suggest that relatively high PCBs concentrations were related to increased rate of breast cancer recurrence, although this contrasts with our previous data showing no effect of OCs on breast cancer risk in these women. Like the many case-control studies of organochlorine exposure and breast cancer risk, more studies are needed to confirm or refute these results.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the efforts of Anna Mondora, Field Supervisor; Belle Hecht and Elizabeth Sellman, interviewers; Dr. Lin Gong and Agnès White for analytical work; Michelle Sanchez and Nanette Nier, RN, for medical follow-up of patients; and Krista Harmon-Overlock for database management. We are especially grateful to Dr. Vincent Vinciguerra at the Don Monti Cancer Center of the North Shore University Hospital for making available the facilities of that institution and for facilitating access to patients. We are indebted to the late Dr. Syril Farber, our community liaison to 1 in 9 - the Long Island Breast Cancer Coalition, and to the 359 patients who participated in this study.

References

 Vainio, H., and Wilbourn, J. Approaches to hazard identification. IARC. Appendix. Overall evaluations of carcinogenicity to humans. IARC Monographs. Vol. 1–69. *In*: J. M. Stellman (ed.), Encyclopaedia of Occupational Health and Safety, 4th ed., Vol. I, pp. 33.58–33.68. Geneva: International Labour Office, 1998.

2. McKinney, J. D., and Waller, C. L. Polychlorinated biphenyls as hormonally active structural analogues. Environ. Health Perspect., *102*: 290–297, 1994.

 Colditz, G. A. Relationship between estrogen levels, use of hormone replacement therapy, and breast cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (Bethesda), 90: 814–823, 1998.

4. Snedeker, S. M. Pesticides and breast cancer risk: a review of DDT, DDE, and dieldrin. Environ. Health Perspect., *109* (Suppl.): 35–47, 2001.

5. Demers, A., Ayotte, P., Brisson, J., Dodin, S., Robert, J., and Dewailly, E. Risk and aggressiveness of breast cancer in relation to plasma organochlorine concentrations. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev., *9*: 161–166, 2000.

6. Høyer, A. P., Jørgensen, T., Brock, J. W., and Hartvig, H. B. Organochlroine exposure and breast cancer survival. J. Clin. Epidemiol., 53: 323–330, 2000.

7. Stellman, S. D., Djordjevic, M. V., Britton, J. A., Muscat, J. E., Citron, M. L., Kemeny, M., Busch, E., and Gong, L. Breast cancer risk in relation to adipose concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in Long Island, New York. Cancer Epiemiol. Biomark. Prev., *9*: 1241–1249, 2000.

 Stellman, S. D., Djordjevic, M. V., Muscat, J. E., Gong, L., Bernstein, D., Citron, M. L., White, A., Kemeny, M., Busch, E., and Nafziger, A. N. Relative abundance of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls in adipose tissue and serum of women in Long Island, New York. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev., 7: 489–496, 1998.

9. American Joint Committee on Cancer. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott-Raven; pp. 172–177. 1997.

 Baris, D., Kwak, L. W., Rothman, N., Wilson, W., Manns, A., Tarone, R. E., and Hartge, P. Blood levels of organochlorines before and after chemotherapy among non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev., 9: 193–197, 2000.

 Haag-Gronlund, M., Warngard, L., Flodstrom, S., Scheu, G., Kronevi, T., Ahlborg, U. G., and Fransson-Steen, R. Promotion of altered hepatic foci by 2,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl in Sprague-Dawley female rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol., 35: 120–130, 1997.

12. van der Plas, S. A., Sundberg, H., van den Berg, H., Scheu, G., Wester, P., Jensen, S., Bergman, A., de Boer, J., Koeman, J. H., and Brouwer, A. Contribution of planar (0–1 ortho) and nonplanar (2–4 ortho) fractions of Aroclor 1260 to the induction of altered hepatic foci in female Sprague-Dawley rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., *169*: 255–268, 2000.

13. van der Plas, S. A., Haag-Gronlund, M., Scheu, G., Warngard, L., van den Berg, M., Wester, P., Koeman, J. H., and Brouwer, A. Induction of altered hepatic foci by a mixture of dioxin-like compounds with and without 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl in female Sprague-Dawley rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., *156*: 30–39, 1999.

14. Bonefeld-Jorgensen, E. C., Andersen, H. R., Rasmussen, T. H., and Vinggaard, A. M. Effect of highly bioaccumulated polychlorinated biphenyl congeners on estrogen and androgen receptor activity. Toxicology, *158*: 141–153, 2001.

15. Wojtowicz, A., Ropstad, E., and Gregoraszczuk, E. Estrous cycle dependent changes in steroid secretion by pig ovarian cells *in vitro* to polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB 153). Endocr. Regul., *35*: 223–228, 2001.

 Augustowska, K., Wojtowicz, A., Kajta, M., Ropstad, E., and Gregoraszczuk, E. L. Polichlorinated biphenyls (PCB126 and PCB 153) action on proliferation and progesterone secretion by cultured in vitro porcine luteal cells. Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes, *109*: 416–418, 2001.

17. Desaulniers, D., Leingartner, K., Wade, M., Fintelman, E., Yagminas, A., and Foster, W. G. Effects of acute exposure to PCBs 126 and 153 on anterior pituitary and thyroid hormones and FSH isoforms in adult Sprague Dawley male rats. Toxicol. Sci., *47*: 158–169, 1999.

 Schilderman, P. A., Maas, L. M., Pachen, D. M., de Kok, T. M., Kleinjans, J. C., and van Schooten, F. J. Induction of DNA adducts by several polychlorinated biphenyls. Environ. Mol. Mutagen., 36: 79–86, 2000.

 Woolcott, C. G., Aronson, K. J., Hanna, W. M., SenGupta, S. K., McReady, D. R., Sterns, E. E., and Miller, A. B. Organochlorines and breast cancer risk by receptor status, tumor size, and grade (Canada). Cancer Causes Control, *12*: 395–404, 2001.

20. Laden, F., Ishibe, N., Hankinson, S. E., Wolff, M. S., Gertig, D. M., Hunter, D. J., and Kelsey, K. T. Polychlorinated biphenyls, cytochrome P450 1A1, and breast cancer risk in the Nurses' Health Study. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev., *11*: 1560–1565, 2002.

 Moysich, K. B., Shields, P. G., Freudenheim, J. L., Schisterman, E. F., Vena, J. E., Kostyniak, P., Greizerstein, H., Marshall, J. R., Graham, S., and Ambrosone, C. B. Polychlorinated biphenyls, cytochrome P4501A1 polymorphism, and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev., 8: 41–44, 1999.

22. Rock, C. L., and Demark-Wahnefried, W. Nutrition and survival after the diagnosis of breast cancer: a review of the evidence. J. Clin. Oncol., *20:* 3302–3316, 2002.

23. McDonald, P. A., Williams, R., Dawkins, F., and Adams-Campbell, L. L. Breast cancer survival in African American women: is alcohol consumption a prognostic indicator? Cancer Causes Control, *13*: 543–549, 2002.